Increased Volume and Function of Right Auditory Cortex as a Marker for Absolute Pitch
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Absolute pitch (AP) perception is the auditory ability to effortlessly recognize the pitch of any given tone without external reference. To study the neural substrates of this rare phenomenon, we developed a novel behavioral test, which excludes memory-based interval recognition and permits quantification of AP proficiency independently of relative pitch cues. AP- and non-AP-possessing musicians were studied with morphological and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and magnetoencephalography. Gray matter volume of the right Heschl’s gyrus (HG) was highly correlated with AP proficiency. Right-hemispheric auditory evoked fields were increased in the AP group. fMRI revealed an AP-dependent network of right planum temporale, secondary somatosensory, and premotor cortices, as well as left-hemispheric “Broca’s” area. We propose the right HG as an anatomical marker of AP and suggest that a right-hemispheric network mediates AP “perception,” whereas pitch “labeling” takes place in the left hemisphere.
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Introduction

Absolute pitch (AP) perception is the rare auditory ability to immediately and effortlessly recognize or produce the pitch of any given tone without using an external reference (Takeuchi and Hulse 1993; Zatorre 2003). In other words, people with AP (or “perfect pitch”) do not require the aid of a previously perceived reference tone or tonal system to recognize and label any pitch instantaneously. The prevalence of AP is estimated to be ~0.01% in the general population, but ~7-32% in professional musicians (Baharloo et al. 1998; Gregersen et al. 1999). Owing to its discrete distribution and its apparent independence of other cognitive functions (i.e. AP subjects are not generally known to have specific advantages in other cognitive domains) AP is regarded as a model for the interaction between genetic and environmental factors in brain function and development (Baharloo et al. 2000; Gregersen et al. 2001; Zatorre 2003; Theusch et al. 2009). The quality of AP ranges from recognition of specific notes, tonalities, or musical instruments, for example, standard pitch A 440 Hz or C major key, to outstanding abilities including absolute perception and production of any pitch irrespective of the kind of sound. AP possessors often compare their auditory ability to hear tones absolutely to the common visual ability of instantaneous color recognition and labeling, as no cognitive effort is required.

There are a number of studies describing substantial differences between the brains of musicians and non-musicians both in terms of morphology and function (Altenmüller 2001; Munte et al. 2002; Schneider et al. 2002; Sluming et al. 2002; Gaser and Schlaug 2003; Bermudez and Zatorre 2005a, 2005b; Bangert et al. 2006; Rosenkranz et al. 2007; Bermudez et al. 2009), but only few authors have focused specifically on brain attributes of musicians with AP: previous neuroimaging studies have determined a hemispheric asymmetry of the planum temporale (PT) as an anatomical feature associated with AP possession, in particular reduced size of the right PT in AP musicians (Schlaug et al. 1995; Keenan et al. 2001; Luders et al. 2004; Wilson et al. 2009). This is in line with the observation that AP ability may be preserved after a left temporal lobectomy (Zatorre et al. 1989). As a consequence, the involvement of left- versus right-hemispheric structures in AP was emphasized with regard to anatomical (Zatorre et al. 1998; Bermudez et al. 2009; Loui et al. 2010; Oechslin et al. 2010) and functional characteristics (Zatorre et al. 1998; Ohnishi et al. 2001; Bermudez and Zatorre 2005a, 2005b; Schulze et al. 2009; Wilson et al. 2009).

Despite the growing body of data, there is still no clear picture of the neural underpinnings of the AP phenomenon (Zatorre 2003; Levitin and Rogers 2005). With respect to the neurophysiological basis of AP processing, several brain areas have been implicated. Left posterior dorsolateral frontal cortex (DLFC) is the most notable nonauditory area that has been associated with pitch memory and labeling aspects (Zatorre et al. 1998; Ohnishi et al. 2001; Oechslin et al. 2010). Furthermore, bilateral parietal and premotor regions are ascribed to participate in early components of pitch perception (Schulze et al. 2009). It appears that the mutual interaction between temporal and frontal areas may be a key to the understanding of AP (Zatorre et al. 1998; Halpern and Zatorre 1999; Zatorre 2003; Gaab et al. 2006; Oechslin et al. 2010). Moreover, processes of pitch perception, pitch memory, and pitch labeling are likely to involve distinct or incompletely overlapping neuronal networks (Levitin and Rogers 2005; Wilson et al. 2009), and thus, partially diverging results in the existing literature could be explained by task-related differences in neuroimaging experiments.

In this study, we developed a novel behavioral test that excludes memory-based interval recognition and allows for quantification of AP proficiency. This test enables the characterization of genuine AP possessors independently from any interaction of pitch identification by relative pitch (RP) cues. RP refers to the ability to make pitch judgments about the
relation between notes, such as within a musical interval (Zatorre et al. 1998), and is a well-developed skill among most professional musicians. In the previous neuroimaging literature, RP possessors have been defined as subjects “without AP” (Pantev et al. 1998; Zatorre et al. 1998; Schulze et al. 2009). However, AP musicians may have a wide range of RP abilities (Miyazaki 1992), and on the other hand, excellent RP listeners are frequently encountered among self-reported AP musicians due to the fact that they may derive “temporary AP cues” by memorizing an external reference tone/system. Therefore, we hypothesize that RP and AP are independent of one another, and we consider a systematic separation of RP and AP cues essential for the accurate identification of AP perception.

Multimodal neuroimaging including functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), individual morphometry of the auditory cortex, and magnetoencephalography (MEG) was performed in AP possessing and nonpossessing musicians. We hypothesized that the difference between AP and non-AP musicians would be apparent at the early steps of auditory perception (no cognitive effort required) and therefore applied passive listening auditory paradigms for fMRI and MEG. Also, we postulated that by employing our established morphometry technique based on individual segmentation of the auditory cortex, which proved to be more sensitive to interindividual gray matter differences in peripheral cortical structures than other methods (Schneider et al. 2002, 2005; Wengenroth et al. 2010), we would identify an anatomical marker correlated with AP proficiency.

### Materials and Methods

#### Subjects

One hundred sixty-two professional and amateur musicians performed the AP test. After meeting the inclusion criteria (AMMA musical aptitude score >26 [Gordon 1998], minimum 5 years of instrumental practice beyond the standard school education, normal hearing, and no history of neurological disorders), subgroups of 18 AP (mean AP score 31.6 ± 3.6) and 18 non-AP musicians (mean AP score 9.5 ± 2.6; P = 0.0001) matched for gender (9 males and 9 females in each group), age (mean age 35 ± 15 in AP and 32 ± 11 years in non-AP group; P = 0.5), musical training intensity (mean cumulative training intensity 17 ± 11 vs. 13 ± 9 weekly hours averaged over the past 3 years; P = 0.2), and sound perception preference (mean sound perception index δ [Schneider et al. 2005]: AP -0.16 ± 0.65, non-AP -0.12 ± 0.73; P = 0.85) underwent further multimodal neuroimaging. All subjects gave their informed consent to participate in the experiments, which were approved by the Ethics committee of Heidelberg University.

#### AP Test

The test was specifically designed to allow for quantification of the degree of AP ability (AP score) and consisted of 28 equally tempered (relative to standard pitch |a| = 440 Hz) sampled instrumental test tones (piano, guitar, violin, organ, wood wind, brass, and voice) and 7 sine tones that were presented for 500 ms each in low-, middle, and high-frequency ranges (32–138, 175–625, and 1000–2000 Hz, respectively) as well as 6 active tone production tasks. Different instrumental test tones have been chosen to address the fact that AP abilities may be influenced by timbre or register. To rule out any RP-associated interrelation, memory of the last test tone was extinguished by intermittent interference stimuli without any harmonic relation to standard pitch. First, 5 nonequally tempered sequential instrumental tones resembling and contorting the previous test tone were presented for 500 ms each followed by 18 s of glissando-like continuously distorted music pieces (see Supplementary Materials for sound example). Only chroma, not octavations were tested. For correct tones 1 point was accredited, and for semitone errors 0.5 point was accredited, resulting in a maximal score of 41 points. The random choice score was 6.9. The inclusion criterion for the AP group was set as the saddle point of the bimodal distribution curve (>21 score points).

#### Morphological MRI

High-resolution T1-weighted 3D MR images of the brain (magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition of gradient echo 438 ms, repetition time 1930 ms, 1-mm3 isotropic resolution, flip angle 15°, 176 contiguous sagittal slices, matrix size 256 mm) were acquired at 3T (Magneton Trio, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with an 8-channel head coil. Additional T2-weighted sequences were obtained and assessed by a neuroradiologist for potential pathologies. MR morphometry was performed using semiautomated BrainVoyager QX segmentation software (version 2.0, Brain Innovation, Maastricht, The Netherlands). Images were corrected for inhomogeneity, transformed into anterior commissure–posterior commissure plane, and subsequently normalized in Talairach (TAL) space (Talairach and Tournoux 1988). Subsequently, individual segmentation and 3D surface reconstruction of auditory cortices were performed. In particular, the superior temporal gyrus (STG) including Heschl’s gyrus (HG), anterior superior temporal cortex and PT, was segmented on sagittal images in a semiautomated slice-by-slice approach employing standard definitions of the anatomical auditory cortex landmarks (Rademacher et al. 2001; Penhune et al. 2005; Wong et al. 2008) and according to established criteria (Schneider et al. 2005; Wengenroth et al. 2010). In particular, the HG was defined as the most anterior transverse gyrus of STG located between the first transverse sulcus and Heschl’s sulcus (HS). In the case of multiple gyration, transverse gyri posterior to the first HG were considered to be posterior HG duplications if they were separated from HG by a complete HS. Often (but inconsistently) HG was indented by a local sulcus in its central, lateral or medial part (i.e., medial HS). For morphometric analysis, the subdivided HG was calculated including its various medial or lateral duplications anterior to the first complete HS. The PT was defined as the plane cortical structure posterior to the HG. Its anterior border was considered the complete HS posterior to HG. In case of multiple complete posterior duplications, the anterior border of PT was defined as the last complete transverse sulcus posterior to the duplications. The posterior border of PT was defined as the origin of the ascending ramus (if present), the medial border was the insular cortex, and the inferior border was the supratemporal sulcus. Gray matter volumes of left and right HG and PT were determined according to individual intensity histograms with a voxel-counting algorithm. For the correct identification of PT, HG, and occurring duplications, a critical step was the visualization of sulcal boundaries. Three-dimensional surface reconstruction of auditory cortices allowed for reliable allocation of anatomical landmarks. To compare anatomical landmarks between groups, stereotactic TAL coordinates of individual auditory cortex were mapped and then were plotted groupwise for comparison using Matlab software (version 7.1, Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA).

#### Functional MRI

Block-designed blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) fMRI (echo planar imaging [EPI] sequences, 36 oblique slices parallel to the Sylvian fissure, slice thickness 3 mm, gap 1 mm, echo time 30 ms, repetition time 2500 ms) was performed during auditory stimulation with different sampled instrumental and synthetically generated complex harmonic tones (Schneider et al. 2005) that were presented for 12.25 s in total (stimulus length 500 ms, 20 items per block, block duration 20 s, baseline: rest). Subjects were instructed to passively listen to the presented stimuli (without thinking of anything else in particular). Subsequent to motion correction, alignment, and TAL transformation, all functional maps were superimposed on both the structural 3D datasets and the 3D reconstructions of individual auditory cortices using BrainVoyager QX software (version 2.0, Brain
Innovation, Maastricht, The Netherlands). Auditory stimulation was contrasted with the baseline condition (no tone) individually using dynamic threshold (Fig. 4; Blatow et al. 2007) and per group using separate subjects fixed effects (FFX) analysis (at \( P < 0.001 \), Bonferroni corrected; Fig. 5a,b). A contrast map of AP > non-AP group was generated \( (P < 0.001 \), Bonferroni corrected, Fig. 5c). Random effects (RFX) analysis was calculated using the AP score as a covariate and corrected for cluster level threshold \( (P < 0.01 \); minimum cluster level = 9 voxels; Fig. 5d; Roebroeck et al. 2005).

Employing a dynamic threshold technique (Blatow et al. 2007), individual centers of gravity for right-hemispheric HG and PT localization were determined (Fig. 6a). With respect to these reference regions, instantaneous functional connectivity analysis was performed using vector autoregressive models (individual FDR correction; \( P < 0.01 \); Roebroeck et al. 2005). This approach allows for whole-brain voxel-by-voxel analysis of coactivations synchronized with the reference seed regions on the individual and group level.

**Magnetoencephalography**

Auditory evoked fields were recorded using a Neuromag-122 whole-head MEG system in response to different sampled instrumental and synthetically generated complex harmonic tones in analogy to the fMRI experiment. Subjects were instructed to listen passively to the sounds, each of which was presented 200 times in pseudorandomized order (tone length 500 ms, interstimulus interval range 400–600 ms). Cortical responses were individually analyzed, and 2600 single events were averaged for source analysis using the BESA program (MEGIS Software GmbH, Graefelfing, Germany). In this work, we put particular emphasis on the clear separation of the early auditory evoked P1 response peaking around 45–70 ms after tone onset and the later N1 and P2a responses employing an established and optimized spatio-temporal source modeling method (Schneider et al. 2005; Wengenroth et al. 2010). Owing to the interaction (and mutual influence) of the primary source in HG and the posterior sources in PT, we therefore employed 2 different analysis approaches (Figs 2 and 3) for the HG and PT.

1. Individual early fit of the P1 response in HG using a peak-based definition (2 dipoles model: 1 dipole per hemisphere). This approach accounts for the interindividual variability of the P1 peak latency (range 45–75 ms after tone onset) and of the peak width. The fitting intervals have been individually adjusted according to the time intervals around the P1 peak in HG defined by their half-side lobes (Fig. 3a, gray shaded areas). The fitted location and orientation of the P1 response in HG was highly robust in all cases and provided a systematic separation of the P1 response from the subsequent N1 response.

2. Late fit of the P2a response in PT using a fixed time interval (4 dipoles model). Based on the constraint of the 2 dipoles fit to the P1 response (1 in each hemisphere), 2 further dipoles in PT were fit to the P2a response using a fixed fit interval (time range 150–300 ms) for all subjects (Fig. 3b, gray shaded area). Subsequently, the spatial orientation was fit to the same fit interval in all subjects (150–300 ms). Response peaks derived from this fitting technique were referred to as “P1a” and “P2a” (4 dipoles model). In cases of very weak and/or broad P2a response, which did not allow for a clear identification of the P2a peak, the P2a dipole was seeded based on the center of gravity (COG) of functional activation in the fMRI experiment.

Signal strength was calculated for each peak relative to a 100-ms baseline. Onset, latencies, and amplitudes of the P1 and P2a responses were analyzed on an individual level and then averaged across groups. To validate the results, we additionally calculated the source waveforms with an fMRI-based constraint, taking the COG of the fMRI activation in the group contrast map (TAL coordinates: right HG: 61/−9/6, left HG: −52/−14/8, right PT: 63/−36/18, left PT: −60/−29/5, see Table 2) as a fixed (seeded) location for calculation of the MEG source waveforms (and only fitting the orientation).

**Statistics**

Demographic, psychoacoustic, MRI morphometry data, as well as MEG results are presented as mean \( (\pm \) standard error); statistical significance between groups was assessed using 2-tailed paired Student’s \( t \)-test. General linear models in fMRI experiments were calculated on a separate subject basis using either FFX or RFX statistics. Statistical parametric maps were displayed after correction for multiple comparisons using conservative Bonferroni correction method for FFX (fixed threshold \( P < 0.001 \)) or cluster-level threshold method (RFX; \( P < 0.01 \); Roebroeck et al. 2005).

**Results**

**Bimodal Distribution of AP Proficiency in Musicians**

The AP test allowed for gradual quantification of AP perception. A ceiling effect could be ruled out since only one subject achieved the highest possible AP score (41 score points). The saddle point (>21 score points) of the bimodal distribution curve was chosen as a conservative inclusion criterion for the AP group (Fig. 1). As subjects were recruited at music institutions, and self-reported AP possessors were specifically invited to participate, the proportion of AP musicians in our study was exceptionally high \( (N = 60/162; 37\%) \) and is not considered representative.

**Increased Volume of Right HG in AP**

Individual analysis of gray matter volume of the auditory cortex in a subgroup of 18 non-AP musicians and 18 AP musicians matched for age, gender, musical training, and holistic versus spectral sound perception preference yielded an increased size of the right HG in the AP group \( (6 \pm 0.2 \text{ cm}^3) \) in comparison with the non-AP group \( (3.4 \pm 0.3 \text{ cm}^3); P < 0.0001; Table 1a, Fig. 2a,b). Gray matter volume of the right HG correlated highly with AP proficiency \( (r = 0.83; P < 0.0001) \).

![Figure 1](http://cercor.oxfordjournals.org/Downloaded from University Heidelberg on January 10, 2013)
Fig. 2c). Consistent with the enlarged right HG, the right PT size was reduced in the AP group ($r = -0.63; P < 0.0001$); hence, common leftward asymmetry of the PT was even more pronounced.

Right-Hemispheric Posterior MEG Dipole Shift and Enhanced Auditory Evoked Fields in AP

In the left hemisphere of both groups, MEG dipoles (adjusted to the early P1 and later P2a response of auditory evoked fields) were localized in near proximity at the posterior bank of HG duplications (P1) and in anterior PT (P2a), respectively (Fig. 2a,b). In the right auditory cortex of the non-AP group, the averaged P1 response was found to originate within HG (Fig. 2a). In comparison, in the AP group, the right P1 dipole was localized 10 mm further behind in the first right HS, and the late P2a 15 mm further behind in the right PT, leading to a separation of MEG dipoles in the axial plane (Fig. 2b, Table 1b). The posterior shift of right P2a correlated well with AP proficiency ($r = 0.67; P < 0.0001$). Averaged MEG source waveforms in response to auditory stimulation showed no significant differences across groups between auditory evoked fields adjusted to the early P1 component in bilateral HG (right P1 amplitude: $16.2 \pm 1.8$ nAm in non-AP and $20.4 \pm 2.8$ nAm in AP, $P = 0.31$; left P1 amplitude: $19.1 \pm 2.1$ nAm in non-AP and $23.6 \pm 2.7$ nAm in AP, $P = 0.29$; Fig. 2d,e). However, the amplitude of right P2a in PT was significantly increased in the AP group compared with the left hemisphere and in comparison with the non-AP group (non-AP $5.3 \pm 1.3$ nAm versus AP $14.7 \pm 1.7$, $P = 0.001$; Fig. 2e, bottom, Table 1b). The integral of right P2a amplitude correlated highly with AP proficiency ($r = 0.75; P < 0.0001$, Fig. 2f).

Additional analysis with an fMRI-based constraint, taking the COG of the BOLD activation as a fixed (seeded) location for calculation of the MEG source waveforms.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auditory cortex morphology and function</th>
<th>non-AP</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Auditory cortex volume (cm$^3$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right HG</td>
<td>3.4 (0.3)</td>
<td>6.0 (0.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left HG</td>
<td>5.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>5.4 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right PT</td>
<td>4.3 (0.3)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left PT</td>
<td>4.7 (0.3)</td>
<td>4.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Auditory evoked fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y-Coordinate (TAL space)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right P1</td>
<td>$-17.1 (1.5)$</td>
<td>$-27.3 (1.5)$</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left P1</td>
<td>$-24.3 (1.7)$</td>
<td>$-25.2 (1.8)$</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right P2a</td>
<td>$-17.5 (1.8)$</td>
<td>$-32.0 (1.7)$</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left P2a</td>
<td>$-26.0 (1.6)$</td>
<td>$-26.5 (1.8)$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude (nAm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right P1</td>
<td>16.2 (1.8)</td>
<td>20.4 (2.8)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left P1</td>
<td>19.1 (2.1)</td>
<td>23.6 (2.7)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right P2a</td>
<td>5.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>14.7 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left P2a</td>
<td>8.1 (2.1)</td>
<td>4.5 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integral 150–300 ms (nAm/timeunit)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right P2a</td>
<td>3.9 (0.7)</td>
<td>12.4 (1.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left P2a</td>
<td>3.1 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: (a) refers to morphometry of Heschl’s gyrus (HG) and planum temporale (PT); mean gray matter volume (cm$^3$). (b) refers to auditory evoked fields as measured by MEG. Statistics: $P$ values (paired 2-tailed Student’s t-test); standard error in parentheses.
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results were comparable in both analysis methods, in particular the main finding of an increased P2a response in right PT of AP musicians was reproducible (data not shown). However, the inverse solution yielded more consistent results with higher peak amplitudes. We believe that this is best explained by the fact that the localization of MEG activation is not perfectly mirrored by that of BOLD COG, as former corresponds to cortical activity in the first few hundred milliseconds, whereas the latter represents the summation of cortical activity over 2.5 s (e.g., repetition time of the EPI sequence), which is dominated by secondary auditory structures.

Morphology and Function of Right Auditory Cortex as a Marker for AP Perception

AP-specific volume increase of right HG combined with right-hemispheric shift of MEG dipoles to posterior parts of HG and PT (as detected by groupwise comparison) were clearly distinguishable on the individual level as well (Figs 3 and 4).

Employing the peak-adjusted fitting method a clear separation of the anterior HG dipole (P1) and posterior PT dipole (P2a) was achieved (Fig. 3). Furthermore, individual analysis of BOLD activation in response to auditory stimulation with instrumental and harmonic complex tones revealed an expansion of auditory cortex activation to dorsal parts of the right STG including posterior HG duplications and PT (Fig. 4). This finding indicates these structures’ functional significance in basic auditory perception in AP subjects.

Activation of a Right-Dominant Network of Auditory and Non-Auditory Areas in AP Musicians

In non-AP musicians, auditory stimulation led to BOLD activation of an extended bilateral network of auditory and non-auditory areas, including HG, PT, tempo-parietal junction (TPJ), secondary somatosensory cortex (S2) as well as primary motor cortex (M1), premotor cortex (PMC), and lateral prefrontal cortex (LPFC). BOLD activations of temporal poles, visual association areas, and midline structures such as the medial prefrontal cortex were increased in the baseline condition compared with auditory stimulation (Fig. 5a). In general, AP musicians recruited the same functional network during this passive listening task. However, BOLD activations were increased in comparison with the non-AP group, in particular in premotor (ventral and dorsal PMC) as well as supplementary (SMA) and cingulate (CMA) motor areas (latter not shown) as well as in PT and TPJ (Fig. 5b). These bilateral effects were more pronounced in the right hemisphere.

The contrast map of the 2 groups revealed a higher activation of right-hemispheric dorsal STG including PT, which pivoted via TPJ and interfaced with bilateral yet right-dominant S2 and PMC (Fig. 5c, Table 2a). Furthermore, activation was increased in left-hemispheric pars opercularis of inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), functionally corresponding to “Broca’s” area. On the other hand, activation of the bilateral anterior HG was reduced in the AP group compared with the non-AP musicians. This effect was again more pronounced in the right hemisphere.
the right hemisphere ($t$ value right HG $-10.2$ vs. left HG $-6.79$, $P < 0.001$ Bonferroni correction; Fig. 5c, Table 2a).

Regression analysis using separate subject RFX statistics with the AP score as a covariate demonstrated covariation in right PT/TPJ, S2, and PMC as well as left “Broca’s” area (Fig. 5d). AP-dependent correlation of BOLD activation was highest in right PT/TPJ ($r = 0.63$; Table 2b).

Analysis of individual localization of functional HG and PT coordinates yielded a higher spatial distribution of right PT coordinates in non-AP subjects compared with the AP group who presented a more restricted spatial distribution of PT coordinates (Fig. 6a). In the non-AP group, instantaneous functional connectivity measuring synchronized fMRI responses in reference to right HG and PT seed regions were essentially limited to the bilateral auditory cortex. In contrast, in the AP group, those reference regions were functionally connected with a large-scale network of bilateral, right-dominant auditory and nonauditory brain areas including PT, TPJ, S2, vPMC, dPMC, and “Broca,” but also anterior insular cortex and visual association areas in the cuneus (Fig. 6b,c). Moreover, right HG activation was synchronized with extended activation in middle and posterior cingulate (including CMA and SMA; not shown).

Discussion

**AP versus RP—the Necessity for an Interval Recognition Independent AP Test**

Most neuroimaging experiments addressing the AP phenomenon rely on group data contrasts calculated after categorization of subjects into AP and non-AP possessors. Whether or not a person belongs to the AP-possessing group is usually determined by either trusting a self-report or by psychoacoustic testing of AP ability, which in the past did not specifically exclude potential influences of memory-based interval recognition (Schlaug et al. 1995; Baharloo et al. 1998; Athos et al. 2007; Oechslin et al. 2009, 2010; Wilson et al. 2009). For example, by recognizing the first test tone as “a” and deducing following test items from there, a subject with high RP proficiency memorizing a single reference tone would have been classified as an AP possessor. Thus, in many cases, the differentiation between AP and RP ability is either not considered or subjects who perform badly in the AP test are considered RP possessors. This approach harbors a number of immanent problems. First, it is not yet clear if AP perception represents a distinct cognitive mechanism or an extreme phenotypic deviation on a continuum of RP ability, yet more arguments seem to exist in favor of the former hypothesis (Miyazaki 1992; Zatorre 2003; Athos et al. 2007). Second, as for AP, there seems to be a wide range of RP ability up to outstanding “temporary AP-like” performances memorizing a single reference tone (E. Hofmann, personal communication).

To date, there is no specific behavioral test of RP proficiency, but arguably, a very good RP ability would result in a high AP score if relative memory cues are not controlled for. Neuroimaging studies that do not clearly separate between AP and RP subjects might unravel the mutual neural mechanisms and/or networks of those 2 abilities but not necessarily the AP-specific network. However, it seems likely that RP and AP abilities rely on distinct and presumably independent networks, since many functions of auditory perception are known to be lateralized (Geschwind and Levitsky 1968; Steinmetz 1996; Westbury et al. 1999; Galuske et al. 2000; Altenmuller et al. 2002; Devlin et al. 2003; Schneider et al. 2005; Wong et al. 2008; Wengenroth et al. 2010). Taken together, these lines of reasoning led us to design a novel AP test in which interference stimuli placed between the test stimuli were meant to disrupt any possible tonal reference system in the listeners mind. Along the lines of previous studies, we found a bimodal distribution of the AP trait (Athos et al. 2007). Moreover, the test yielded gradual quantification of AP ability without any ceiling effect, which in turn allowed for interindividual comparison and correlation with neuroimaging findings.

**Increased Volume and Function of Right HG as a Marker of AP Proficiency**

The finding of increased volume of right HG in AP musicians is well in line with the PT asymmetry described in previous
studies (Schlaug et al. 1995; Keenan et al. 2001; Wilson et al. 2009). Moreover, for the first time, it explains why the smaller size of the right PT (and not a larger left PT) was the better predictor of AP ability and points to a predominant role of the right auditory cortex in AP perception. On the functional level, increased activation of right HG and PT as a response to auditory stimulation was found using multimodal neuroimaging methods. It is of note that the posterior shift of functional areas associated with basic auditory perception in the right auditory cortex of AP possessors was detectable via MEG and fMRI (leading to relatively decreased activations in anterior HG and higher activation in the posterior HG and PT in AP subjects). Importantly, AP-specific HG volume difference and functional right auditory cortex augmentation was not only found at a

Figure 5. Multisensory and right-dominant network in AP perception. BOLD activations after auditory stimulation are rendered onto the normalized brain surface of the subject with the highest AP score (LH: left hemisphere, RH: right hemisphere). Fixed effects separate subjects analyses (P < 0.001, Bonferroni corrected) are shown in (a) non-AP and (b) AP musicians as well as in the (c) group contrast map. (d) Random effects analysis using the AP score as a covariate. Superior temporal gyrus (STG), Heschl’s Gyrus (HG), planum temporale (PT), temporo-parietal junction (TPJ), secondary somatosensory cortex (S2), primary motor cortex (M1), lateral prefrontal cortex (LPFC), ventral premotor cortex (vPMC), dorsal premotor cortex (dPMC).
group analysis level but is present in every single AP subject correlating with AP proficiency.

Previously, significant structure–function relationships between volume and lateralization of auditory cortex and auditory behavior have been described in musicians with spectral versus holistic sound perception preference (Schneider et al. 2005), patients suffering from tinnitus (Schneider et al. 2009), and individuals with the genetic disorder Williams syndrome (Wengenroth et al. 2010) or language-learning abilities (Wong et al. 2008). Specifically, we previously demonstrated that musicians with relatively enlarged right lateral HG were generally spectral listeners (i.e., predominantly perceiving the spectral components of complex tones), whereas a dominant left lateral HG was associated with holistic sound perception (i.e., prevailing perception of the fundamental tone) (Schneider et al. 2005; Schneider and Wengenroth 2009). In the present study, experimental groups were specifically matched for this parameter (Materials and Methods section); thus, the rightward HG asymmetry in the AP group cannot simply be explained by predominant spectral sound perception properties. Whether volume increase in right HG is a consequence of AP acquisition or an innate (i.e., genetically determined) anatomical feature remains an unresolved question at this stage.

Alterations of auditory evoked fields, in particular amplification of the P2 component or the N1–P2 complex, have been reported in response to acoustical, musical, and speech training in children and adults (Tremblay et al. 2001; Shahin et al. 2004).

Figure 6. FMRI-based functional connectivity of right HG and PT. (a) Individual localization of the fMRI center of gravity of right-hemispheric HG (green) and PT (red) coordinates in non-AP musicians and AP musicians. (b and c) Probability maps of fMRI-based instantaneous functional connectivity based on individual seed regions of right HG (green, b) and right PT (red, c). Individual FDR correction, \( P < 0.01 \); probability maps with consistency >70% are superimposed on the averaged brain of all non-AP and AP subjects, respectively.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AP network</th>
<th>TAL coordinates</th>
<th>( t )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) fMRI contrast: AP vs. non-AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right anterior HG</td>
<td>61/−9/6</td>
<td>−9.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left anterior HG</td>
<td>−52/−14/8</td>
<td>−7.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right PT</td>
<td>63/−36/18</td>
<td>12.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left PT</td>
<td>−60/−29/5</td>
<td>6.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right somatosensory area (S2)</td>
<td>63/−23/21</td>
<td>9.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left S2</td>
<td>−60/−23/21</td>
<td>7.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right IFG</td>
<td>57/16/21</td>
<td>7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left IFG (&quot;Broca&quot;)</td>
<td>−51/14/24</td>
<td>8.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right lateral prefrontal cortex</td>
<td>29/59/18</td>
<td>7.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right PMC</td>
<td>43/2/45</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left PMC</td>
<td>−39/−5/48</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right superior parietal lobe</td>
<td>34/−46/51</td>
<td>7.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left superior parietal lobe</td>
<td>−43/−46/41</td>
<td>6.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right middle temporal gyrus</td>
<td>52/−40/11</td>
<td>7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCM</td>
<td>2/19/43</td>
<td>6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA</td>
<td>4/−3/65</td>
<td>7.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) fMRI regression: AP score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right PT</td>
<td>61/−38/18</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right LPFC</td>
<td>29/64/21</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right S2</td>
<td>62/−27/21</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right lingual gyrus</td>
<td>25/−60/−6</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right PMC</td>
<td>39/0/42</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left cerebellum</td>
<td>−23/−53/−30</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left IFG (Broca)</td>
<td>−61/18/17</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex</td>
<td>−34/20/54</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: (a) fMRI contrast map AP versus non-AP. All regions with increased activation in the tone versus baseline condition with \( t \) value >6.5 and >150 voxels cluster size are listed. (b) fMRI regression analysis with AP score as covariate. \( t \) values (Bonferroni corrected. \( P < 0.001 \)), \( r \) values (\( P < 0.012 \); cluster level correction). Anatomical coordinates of the averaged centers of gravity per region are given in Talairach space.
auditory cortex, or the inde
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et al. 2012).

(No. 2005b; Zatorre et al. 2007; Zatorre 2003; Bermudez and Zatorre

et al. 2005; Zatorre et al. 2007; Brancucci et al. 2008; Rauschecker and Scott 2009). It is still an open question whether AP perception depends on a distinct neural network or a particular mechanism of cortical computation. Our results suggest that during passive listening, AP musicians use the same bilateral brain areas as non-AP musicians but recruit more the right side of the network, which may be in part a mere consequence of their anatomically and functionally dominant right HG. The only brain area that is recruited predominantly on the left side is Broca’s area in IFG, at the posterior caudal bank of DLFC which has been previously associated with pitch memory and labeling aspects of AP (Zatorre et al. 1998; Zatorre 2003; Bermudez and Zatorre 2005a, 2005b; Levitin and Rogers 2005; Bangert et al. 2006). Small differences in activation localization between our study and the aforementioned are readily explained by the fact that we used a passive listening and not a pitch-naming task.

of further note is the implication of the parietal operculum, location of secondary somatosensory cortex (S2), found in our study. This area has not received much attention in the neuroscience of music field so far, possibly because it was subsumed in sensorimotor activity in previous neuroimaging studies (Zatorre et al. 2007; Altenmuller 2008; Chen et al. 2008). Its contribution to the network is consistent with the idea of enhanced multisensory and sensorimotor integration in musicians, since also kinesthetic and proprioceptive awareness is relevant to musical performance.

**Integration of Basic AP Perception and Pitch Labeling**

An appealing idea is that pitch perception relies on a referencing process of incoming auditory signals with a prestored template, which could be based on an absolute scale in AP musicians versus a relative, for example, interval scale in RP (or non-) musicians (Levitin and Rogers 2005). The exact site of pitch template encoding neurons has not been identified yet, though it is likely that they are located in primary or secondary auditory areas in the temporal lobe. In accordance with the ideas of Zatorre (2003), a possible (simplified) scenario would be to break down the AP ability into a 2-step process, whereby the first step encompasses the (precognitive) perceptual and the second step the (cognitive) labeling aspects. Incoming auditory information is processed in primary and some of the secondary auditory areas to generate an organized output signal toward the PT. Considering the highly significant anatomical and functional dominance of the right auditory cortex in AP musicians found in this study, we speculate that the referencing process with the postulated AP template might take place in the right STG. Then the signal already encoding the AP information leads to coactivation of parieto-frontal regions where it engages a process of musicianship—but not necessarily AP-specific multisensory integration—and feeds back to the PT. The pitch labeling part, however, takes place in the DLFC and Broca’s area in the left hemisphere.
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